Université Grenoble Alpes

THÈSE

pour obtenir le grade de

DOCTEUR DE LA COMMUNAUTE UNIVERSITE GRENOBLE ALPES

Spécialité : **Automatique-Productique**

Arrêté ministériel : 7 août 2006

Présentée par
Thanh Phong PHAM

Thèse dirigée par **Olivier SENAME** et
codirigée par **Luc DUGARD**

préparée au sein du **GIPSA-Lab**
dans **Electronique, Electrotechnique, Automatique, Traitement du Signal (EEATS)**

LPV observer and Fault-tolerant control of vehicle dynamics: application to an automotive semi-active suspension system

Thèse soutenue publiquement le **19 Mai 2020**,
devant le jury composé de:

M. Didier THEILLIOL
Professeur, Université de Lorraine, Président
M. Thierry Marie GUERRA
Professeur, Université Polytechnique Hauts-de-France, Rapporteur
M. Peter GÁSPÁR
Directeur de Recherche, Académie des Sciences, Hongrie, Rapporteur
M. Olivier SENAME
Professeur, Grenoble INP, Directeur de thèse
M. Luc DUGARD
Directeur de recherche, CNRS Grenoble, Co-Directeur de thèse

Dedicated to my parents
and my wife Dan Khue

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude and my enormous thanks to my supervisors Professor Olivier Sename and Luc Dugard who gave me this opportunity to do this research. Olivier and Luc, I thank you for everything you have done for me, your support, your advice, and your enthusiasm. You have given me better conditions as well as good opportunities in my research. It was really my luck and my pleasure to work with you and I hope that we can have collaborations in the future.

I would like to thank all the members of the jury, Professor Didier Theillol who is the president of my jury and my examiner, Professor Peter Gaspar and Professor Thierry Marie Guerra for their time to review my thesis and give me constructive reviews and comments. All these comments are very useful in order to improve the quality of the thesis.

Besides, I would like to thank Dr. Mazen Alamir and Prof. Damien Koenig who are members of my CSI jury and Prof. Christian Commault. Thank you so much for your time and your advice to me during three years of the thesis. I would also like to thank Professor Peter Gaspar, Professor Szabó Zoltán, Dr. Balázs Németh, Mr. András Mihály, Mr. Dániel Fényes, Ms. Zsófia Farkas for welcoming me at the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

Also, a few words for my dear friends in GIPSA-Lab: Tan, Canh, Vuong, Linh, Tien, Hung, Duc, Huy, Bao, Karthik, Constantinos, Sebin, Mukhtar, Makia, Marcelo, Dimitrios, Chhayarith, ... I am grateful to all of you. I am also grateful to Marielle, Sylvie, Patricia, Gabriel... and all members of the staff of GIPSA-Lab. Without you, my work might have not run smoothly.

Finally, I want to thank my parents, my parents in law, and all my family for their confidence in me. They are always behind me and encourage me during my difficulties. Last but not least, my wife Dan Khue, it is not easy to express all my words to you. You are always next to me, support me and with me, we go there together until the end of this great adventure.

Sincerely
Thanh-Phong PHAM

Contents

Table of Acronyms **xxxi**

Thesis framework and contribution **1**

0.1 Thesis framework 1

0.2 General introduction and problem statement of the thesis 3

0.3 Structure of the thesis 3

0.3.1 Part I: System description identification and modelling 3

0.3.2 Part II: Some approaches for damper force estimation 4

0.3.3 Part III: Application to fault-tolerant control 4

0.4 Contributions 6

I System description identification and modelling **9**

1 Electro-Rheological (ER) damper model identification **11**

1.1 Introduction 12

1.2 Related works on ER damper modelling 14

1.3 INOVE testbench 15

1.4 ER damper modelling and identification 17

1.4.1 An extended Bingham model with dynamic characteristics 17

1.4.2 An extended Guo model with dynamic characteristics 20

1.5 Identification procedure of the model parameters 21

1.5.1 Linear terms 22

1.5.2 Nonlinear term 26

1.5.3 The varying time constant 32

1.6 Validation results 33

1.6.1 Case 1 . . . 34
1.6.2 Case 2 . . . 35
1.6.3 Case 3 . . . 36
1.6.4 Case 4 . . . 38
1.7 Conclusions . . . 40

2 Extended Quarter Car Modeling 43
2.1 Introduction . . . 44
2.2 Extended quarter car modeling . . . 46
2.2.1 The Linear and quasi Linear Parameter Varying subsystems . . . 48
2.2.2 A Nonlinear Lipschitz system representation . . . 49
2.2.3 NonLinear Parameter Varying system . . . 50
2.2.4 Nonlinear Lipschitz Descriptor system considering actuator fault . . . 50
2.3 Conclusions . . . 51

II Some approaches for damper force estimation 53

3 Unified $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ Observer for a Class of Nonlinear Lipschitz Systems 59
3.1 Introduction . . . 60
3.2 Unified $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ observer definition . . . 61
3.2.1 Unified $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ observer definition . . . 61
3.2.2 Dynamic estimation error . . . 61
3.2.3 Parameterization of the observer matrices . . . 63
3.3 Unified $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ Observer design . . . 66
3.3.1 Problem formulation . . . 66
3.3.2 Observer design . . . 66
3.3.3 Design procedure . . . 69

3.4 Synthesis results and frequency domain analysis 71
3.4.1 Synthesis results 72
3.4.2 Frequency domain analysis 75
3.5 Simulation Results 76
3.5.1 Simulation scenario 1 77
3.5.2 Simulation scenario 2 78
3.5.3 Simulation scenario 3 80
3.6 Experimental validation 83
3.6.1 Experiment 1 84
3.6.2 Experiment 2 85
3.7 Conclusion 88

4 Real-time Damper Force Estimation of Vehicle Electrorheological Suspension: A NonLinear Parameter Varying Approach 89

4.1 Introduction 90
4.2 NLPV observer definition 91
4.3 NLPV observer design 91
4.3.1 Problem formulation 91
4.3.2 NLPV observer design using constant Lyapunov function 92
4.3.3 NLPV observer design using parameter dependent Lyapunov function . 95
4.4 Synthesis results and frequency domain analysis 98
4.4.1 Synthesis results 99
4.4.2 Frequency domain analysis 100
4.5 Simulation Results 109
4.5.1 Simulation scenario 1 109
4.5.2 Simulation scenario 2 112
4.5.3 Simulation scenario 3 115

4.5.4 Simulation scenario 4 . . . 118
4.6 Experimental validation . . . 121
4.6.1 Experiment 1 . . . 121
4.6.2 Experiment 2 . . . 123
4.7 Conclusion . . . 126

5 Comparison of three observer designs 127
5.1 Introduction . . . 127
5.2 Comparison in the frequency domain . . . 129
5.3 Comparison in the time domain simulation . . . 134
5.3.1 Simulation scenario 1: convergence time evaluation . . . 134
5.3.2 Simulation scenario 2: measurement noise rejection evaluation . . . 137
5.4 Experimental comparison . . . 142
5.5 Conclusion . . . 146

III Application to fault-tolerant control 147

6 Fault Tolerant Control for the semi-active suspension system 149
6.1 Introduction . . . 149
6.2 Control-oriented fault modelling: loss of effectiveness . . . 150
6.2.1 Electrical issues . . . 151
6.2.2 Oil leakage . . . 153
6.2.3 Physical deformation . . . 155
6.3 Fault tolerant LPV semi-active suspension control . . . 158
6.3.1 FTC/LPV semi-active suspension control design . . . 159
6.3.1.1 Weighting functions . . . 161
6.3.1.2 Scheduling parameter . . . 163

6.3.1.3 H_∞/LPV control design for FTC 163
6.3.2 Synthesis results and frequency domain analysis 165
6.4 Some simulation in time domain 167
6.4.1 Scenario 1 167
6.4.2 Scenario 2 169
6.5 Conclusion 170

Conclusion and perspectives **173**